

Nominalistic Logic (Extended Abstract)

Jørgen Villadsen

Department of Informatics and Mathematical Modeling
Technical University of Denmark

Nominalistic Logic (NL) is a new presentation of Paul Gilmore's Intensional Type Theory (ITT) as a sequent calculus together with a succinct nominalization axiom (N) that permits names of predicates as individuals in certain cases. The logic has a flexible comprehension axiom, but no extensionality axiom and no infinity axiom, although axiom N is the key to the derivation of Peano's postulates for the natural numbers.

References:

ITT

Paul Gilmore: *An Intensional Type Theory: Motivation and Cut-Elimination*. Journal of Symbolic Logic 383-400 2001.

NL

Jørgen Villadsen: *Nominalistic Logic: From Naive Set Theory to Intensional Type Theory*. Pages 57–85 in Klaus Robering (editor): *New Approaches to Classes and Concepts*. Volume 14, Studies in Logic, College Publications 2008.

c and $x, y, z, \dots, x_n, y_n, z_n, \dots$ range over constants and countably infinitely many variables, respectively, and $p, q, r, s, t, \dots, p_n, q_n, r_n, s_n, t_n, \dots$ range over terms produced by the grammar:

$$t ::= pt \mid \lambda x.p \mid x \mid c$$

$$pt_1 \cdots t_n \text{ stands for } (pt_1) \cdots t_n$$

$\lambda x_1 \cdots x_n.p$ stands for $\lambda x_1. \cdots \lambda x_n.p$ (used for all variable-binding operators).

A variable x occurs bound (free) in a term if x is (not) in the scope of a λx .

The term $p[t/x]$ is p with every free occurrence of x replaced with t .

α -renaming of the variable x to the variable y in $\lambda x.p$ is $\lambda y.p[y/x]$ assuming y

is not free in p and does not become bound in p .

β -reduction of $(\lambda x.p)t$ is $p[t/x]$ assuming no free variable in t becomes bound.

η -reduction of $\lambda x.px$ is p assuming x is not free in p .

$s \sim t$ if t is s with a series of α -renamings.

$s \succ t$ if t is s with either a β -reduction or an η -reduction.

$\tau, \dots, \tau_n, \dots$ and $\sigma, \dots, \sigma_n, \dots$ range over types and predicate types, respectively, produced by the grammar:

$$\tau ::= \sigma \mid \iota$$

$$\sigma ::= \tau \sigma \mid o$$

$$\tau_1 \cdots \tau_n \sigma \text{ stands for } \tau_1 \cdots (\tau_n \sigma)$$

It is assumed that every constant and variable has a unique type such that there are infinitely many variables for each type

The type system $t : \tau$ determines that a term t has type τ by the conditions:

$$x : \tau \text{ if } x \text{ has type } \tau \quad (\text{var})$$

$$c : \tau \text{ if } c \text{ has type } \tau \quad (\text{con})$$

$$p : \tau \sigma \text{ and } t : \tau \text{ gives } pt : \sigma \quad (\text{app})$$

$$x : \tau \text{ and } p : \sigma \text{ gives } \lambda x.p : \tau \sigma \quad (\text{abs})$$

$$p : \iota \text{ if } p \text{ is nominalizable} \quad (\text{nom})$$

p is nominalizable if $p : \sigma$ and $x : \iota$ for every free variable x in p

p is a formula if $p : o$ (a sentence is a closed formula)

There is a constant of type ooo and for every type τ a constant of type $(\tau o)o$

The constants and variables must have appropriate types in the abbreviations:

$$\neg p := cpp \quad \text{Here } c \text{ means "neither ... nor ...".}$$

$$p \vee q := \neg cpq \quad \text{Ditto.}$$

$$p \wedge q := \neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$$

$$\exists x.p := \neg c\lambda x.p \quad \text{Here } c \text{ means "no ... exists".}$$

$$\forall x.p := \neg \exists x.\neg p$$

$$s \neq t := (\lambda xy.\exists z.zx \wedge \neg zy)st$$

$$s = t := \neg(s \neq t)$$

$$p \rightarrow q := \neg p \vee q$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q := (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$$

The operator priority is as follows from high to low: $\neg = \wedge \vee \rightarrow \leftrightarrow \lambda$.

Variable-binding operators, like $\exists$ and $\forall$, have the same priority as λ .

Other operators, like $\neq$, have the same priority as $=$ (or $\neg$ if unary).

$\vee$ and $\wedge$ are left associative and $\rightarrow$ and $\leftrightarrow$ are right associative.

$\doteq$ and $\ncong$ are similar to $=$ and $\neq$, respectively, but always has type uo .

Θ , Γ and Δ range over possible empty formula sequences

The sequent calculus $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$ has sequences on both sides in the rules:

$$p \vdash q \quad \text{if } p \sim q \text{ or } p \succ q \quad (\text{S})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\frac{}{p, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, p}} \quad (\text{T})$$

$$\frac{\Theta, p, q, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Theta, q, p, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, p, q, \Theta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, q, p, \Theta} \quad (\text{E})$$

$$\frac{p, p, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{p, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, p, p}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, p} \quad (\text{C})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, p, q}{cpq, \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \quad \vdash p, q, cpq \quad (\text{P})$$

$$cp, pt \vdash \frac{px, \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta, cp} \quad x \text{ not free in } p, \Gamma \text{ or } \Delta \quad (\text{Q})$$

$$\vdash p = q \leftrightarrow p \doteq q \quad (\text{N})$$

The left and right rules are placed next to each other.

Double lines indicate that the rule works in both directions.

Multiple conclusions indicate multiple rules each with a single conclusion.

$$\top := \exists x.x$$

Truth

$$\perp := \neg \top$$

Falsity

$$s \equiv t := (\lambda xy. \forall z_1 \cdots z_n. xz_1 \cdots z_n \leftrightarrow yz_1 \cdots z_n)st$$

Equivalence

$$s \not\equiv t := \neg(s \equiv t)$$

$$t' := (\lambda xy. x \doteq y)t$$

Successor

$$0 := \lambda x.x \neq x$$

Zero

$$1 := 0'$$

$$2 := 1'$$

$$3 := 2' \quad \dots$$